Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,622	FREY, STANLEY J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.	(3)	
(2) <u>David J. Piasecki</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 November 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		•
Claims discussed: None		•
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G The examiner proposed the changes in the examiner's am then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's an	endment to place the case in condition	AS DISCUSSED: n for allowance. David J. Piasecki
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the applicatio of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of the substance
0 /		
Crost 6 the low		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		